

JOHN EDMONDSON HIGH SCHOOL Assessment Notification

Faculty: HSIE Course: Geography Year: 7

Assessment Task: RESEARCH TASK – Place and Liveability

Assessment Weighting: 50% Due: Term 2 Week 3 Date: Friday 10/05/2024

Task Type: Hand in Task 🛛 In Class Task 🗌 Practical Task 🗌

Outcomes assessed (NESA)

GE4-1 Locates and describes the diverse features and characteristics of a range of places and environments

GE4-3 Explains how interactions and connections between people, places and environments result in change

GE4-4 Examines perspectives of people and organisations on a range of geographical issues

GE4-6 Explains differences in human wellbeing

GE4-8 Communicates geographical information using a variety of strategies

Task Description/ Overview

Students analyse the elements that makes a place liveable. Liveability is the degree to which a place is suitable or good for living in.

Students are to collect and record relevant geographical data and information, from appropriate primary and secondary information sources to help complete the questions below.

Detailed Assessment Task Description

You are required to prepare a Power Point /Google Slides Presentation) to compare the liveability of TWO cities (ONE liveable and ONE LEAST Liveable) from the table provided on the next page. You will need to comment on each of the four metrics mentioned:

-Healthcare (10marks)

-Culture and Environment (10marks)

- Education (10marks)
- Infrastructure (10marks)

You must include visual elements (e.g. images, graphs, tables, statistics).

You will get 3 lessons in class to work on this Research task and seek teacher feedback to help you.

Your presentation is to be submitted on CANVAS by 2.40pm Friday 10th May 2024

Assessment Crit	eria	
Grade	Description	Mark Range
Outstanding (O)	 Demonstrates extensive geographical knowledge and und relevant to the liveability of their chosen cities Compares four features of their chosen cities and links these with specific features of wellbeing Provide extensive and relevant visual evidence to support their presentation Communicate ideas and information using geographical terminology and concepts using logical and cohesive structure 	33-40
High (H)	 Demonstrates thorough geographical knowledge and understanding relevant to the liveability of their chosen cities compares four features of their chosen cities and links these with features of wellbeing Provides relevant visual evidence of sources to support their presentation Communicate ideas and information using geographical terminology and concepts using appropriate writing structure 	23-32
Sound (S)	 Demonstrates geographical knowledge and understanding relevant to the liveability of their chosen cities Compares three features of their chosen cities and may link these with specific features of wellbeing Provides evidence of visual sources to support their presentation Communicate ideas and information using some geographical terminology and concepts 	14-22
Basic (B)	 Demonstrates some geographical knowledge and/or understanding that may relate to the liveability of their chosen city Outlines four features of their chosen city related to wellbeing Provide some visual evidence Communicate information using basic geographical terminology and concepts 	5-13
Limited (L)	 May demonstrate concepts about liveability of their chosen city Lists some features of their chosen city May include some images May attempt to include some geographical terminology and/or concepts 	0-4

Year 7 Geography Assessment Information-Place and Liveability

Date Due: Monday 2.40 pm 10/05/2024

Total Mark: /40

Weighting: 50%

OVERVIEW OF TASK:

1. You are required to Choose **TWO** cities to compare: ONE from <u>Top 5 Liveable Cities</u> and ONE from <u>Top 5 Least Liveable Cities</u> and prepare a **power point or google slide presentation**.

1. Vienna, Austria Dhaka (Bangladesh)	TOP 5 Liveable Cities	TOP 5 Least Liveable Cities
2. Copenhagen, DenmarkDouala (Cameroon)3. Melbourne, AustraliaTehran (Iran)4. Sydney, AustraliaPort Moresby (Papua New Guinea)5. Vancouver, CanadaHarare (Zimbabwe)	 Copenhagen, Denmark Melbourne, Australia Sydney, Australia 	Douala (Cameroon) Tehran (Iran) Port Moresby (Papua New Guinea)

https://www.forbes.com/sites/laurabegleybloom/2023/06/21/ranked-20-best-cities-to-live-in-the-world-according-to-a-new-report/?sh=94fb4a4225b2

- 2. You are to **Compare** the differences of each city using the following criteria: Healthcare, Culture and environment, Education, and Infrastructure factors. Each factor is worth **10marks**
 - Healthcare(e.g. How do people access health and medical services? Do they have to pay for it? If not, how is it paid)
 - Culture and Environment (e.gg what is it like to live in the city? Does it have great food and entertainment, etc)
 - Education (e.g. are there well educated people in the city? What kind of education can they get and does it cost money etc)
 - Infrastructure (e.g. what services and facilities are available in the city?
- 3. You must include:
 - 1st slide: Title page including your name and year
 - 2nd slide: Brief introduction of your cities (one liveable and 1 least liveable).
 (about 100 words)
 - 3rds slide: Healthcare of both cities(about 150words)
 - 4th slide : Culture and Environment of both cities (about 150 words)
 - 5th Slide : Education of both cities (about 150 words)
 - 6th slide :- Infrastructure of both cities (about 150 words)

4. Slides 2-6 must include visual elements (e.g. images, graphs, tables, statistics) that shows the city belongs in the top 5 liveable cities list or the top 5 least liveable cities list?

Year 7 Research Assignment

Place and Liveability

MARKING GUIDELINES AND SCAFFOLD

Healthcare – 10 Marks

Criteria	Mark
 Provides a highly detailed and accurate comparison of the Healthcare of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Healthcare of both cities. Detailed and well-structured written response with sophisticated use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	9 - 10
 Provides a sound comparison of Healthcare of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes some visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Healthcare both cities Well-structured written response with good use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	7 - 8
 Attempts comparison of Healthcare of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Healthcare of both cities. Locates and selects relevant information from their research to complete the activity using some appropriate Geographical terms. 	5 - 6
 Provides a descriptive response of the Healthcare of their chose liveable and least liveable cities Includes limited visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Healthcare of both cities. Basic structure to the response, which may use some Geographical terms. 	3 - 4
 Poor description of the healthcare of one of their chosen city Does not provide a visual element of the healthcare of their chosen city. Poorly written response, which may use limited Geographical terms. 	1 - 2

Culture and Environment – 10 Marks

Criteria	Mark
 Provides a highly detailed and accurate comparison of the Culture and Environment of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Culture and Environment of both cities. Detailed and well-structured written response with sophisticated use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	9 - 10
 Provides a sound comparison of Culture and Environment of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes some visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Culture and Environment both cities Well-structured written response with good use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	7 - 8
 Attempts comparison of Culture and Environment of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Culture and Environment of both cities. Locates and selects relevant information from their research to complete the activity using some appropriate Geographical terms. 	5 - 6
 Provides a descriptive response of the Culture and Environment of their chose liveable and least liveable cities Includes limited visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Culture and Environment of both cities. Basic structure to the response, which may use some Geographical terms. 	3 - 4
 Poor description of the Culture and Environment of one of their chosen city Does not provide a visual element of the Culture and Environment of their chosen city. Poorly written response, which may use limited Geographical terms. 	1 - 2

Education – 10 Marks

Criteria	Mark
 Provides a highly detailed and accurate comparison of the Education of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Education of both cities. Detailed and well-structured written response with sophisticated use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	9 - 10
 Provides a sound comparison of Education of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes some visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Education both cities Well-structured written response with good use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	7 - 8
 Attempts comparison of the Education of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Education of both cities. Locates and selects relevant information from their research to complete the activity using some appropriate Geographical terms. 	5 - 6
 Provides a descriptive response of the Education of their chose liveable and least liveable cities Includes limited visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Education of both cities. Basic structure to the response, which may use some Geographical terms. 	3 - 4
 Poor description of the Education of one of their chosen city Does not provide a visual element of the Education of their chosen city. Poorly written response, which may use limited Geographical terms. 	1 - 2

Infrastructure – 10 Marks

Criteria	Mark
 Provides a highly detailed and accurate comparison of the infrastructure of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g., images graphs, tables and statistics, of the infrastructure of both cities. Detailed and well-structured written response with sophisticated use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	9 - 10
 Provides a sound comparison of Infrastructure of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes some visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Infrastructure both cities Well-structured written response with good use of appropriate Geographical terms. 	7 - 8
 Attempts comparison of Infrastructure of their chosen liveable and least liveable cities. Includes visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Infrastructure of both cities. Locates and selects relevant information from their research to complete the activity using some appropriate Geographical terms. 	5 - 6
 Provides a descriptive response of the Infrastructurr of their chose liveable and least liveable cities Includes limited visual elements e.g. images graphs, tables and statistics, of the Infrastructure of both cities. Basic structure to the response, which may use some Geographical terms. 	3 - 4
 Poor description of the Infrastructure of one of their chosen city Does not provide a visual element of the Infrastructure of their chosen city. Poorly written response, which may use limited Geographical terms. 	1 - 2